Management of Children Referred to ENT with Suspected Obstructive Sleep Apnea Following Overnight Oximetry.
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ABSTRACT

Background: Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) has been associated with increased risk of respiratory complications after adenotonsillectomy in children. Not all such children require overnight admission, and same-day surgery is appropriate for some patients. Selection of those that are suitable for same day discharge relies on accurate identification of OSA severity.

Guidelines about ‘Day Care Adenotonsillectomy in presence of Sleep Apnea’ provided by Nottingham Children’s Hospital, the UK, published in 2014, and applies to those children who had a sleep study performed pre-operatively.

A typical cost-effective practical sleep study entails at-home overnight pulse oximetry.

Aim: The aim of audit study was to see if suspected OSA is appropriately managed at Trafford General Hospital (TGH), the UK, according to the standards provided by Nottingham Children’s Hospital, in addition to taking one standard from the Royal College of Pediatrics and Child Health report, on Standards for Services for Children with Disorders of Sleep Physiology, published in 2009.

Study Design: This is a retrospective local audit study focused on children who were referred to ENT at TGH for suspected OSA or who were suspected of OSA during ENT follow-up for another non-OSA reason.

Conclusion: There is good practice in excluding insufficiently analyzed oximetry. Non-compliance was mostly due to mild OSA being ruled in on an inconclusive study. The listing and referral for adenotonsillectomy is appropriate despite conflicting local practice to refer all children with suspected OSA to a specialized centre.
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INTRODUCTION

Auditory Sleep disordered breathing (SDB) is the general term for a range of breathing difficulties occurring during sleep, from primary snoring to obstructive sleep apnea (OSA). OSA is the most common type of SDB, described as the repeated cessation of airflow during sleep caused by a partial or complete obstruction and thus preventing air from entering the lungs. The prevalence of OSA in children is approximately 0.7 – 1.8% and peaks between ages of 3 and 6[1, 2, 3].

Polysomnography (PSG) requires attendance monitoring as an in-patient, overnight at a specialist center. However it costs more, decreases attendance, and may discriminate based on geographical availability[4]. Overnight oximetry can record most of the variables polysomnography (PSG) can, except electroencephalography (EEG). On the other hand, the benefit of overnight oximetry, is that it can be performed at home using a small portable machine that is lent out by the investigating department rather than in a specialized sleep center as an in-patient basis. Overnight oximetry can, therefore, be reliably used in most settings as a convenient alternative to PSG even if not the gold standard.

Certain underlying syndromes, such as Marfan’s syndrome or Down syndrome, as well as some craniofacial abnormalities can predispose to OSA, though they are uncommon to see in practice[9]. The majority of children with OSA, however, have an element of adenotonsillar hypertrophy, particularly grade II tonsils or above[6, 7].

Not all children with adenotonsillar hypertrophy, however, develop OSA, suggesting that the pathophysiology of OSA also has a dependent functional cause – that is a reduced tone of the pharyngeal constrictor muscles – and possibly other additional factors[10]. Further
Evidence to this idea is that most apneas and hypopneas occur during REM sleep when muscle tone is decreased\[9\].

The Royal College of Surgeons of England (RCS) in 2009 mentioned that “of children presenting with clinically significant SDB can be effectively managed by adenotonsillectomy”\[10\].

This audit study can provide an idea of how suspected OSA in children is currently being managed, and whether it is of an appropriate standard in relation to the general consensus for management of OSA in a District General Hospital (DGH).

**METHODOLOGY:**

This is a retrospective local audit focused on children who were referred to the ENT Department at TGH for suspected OSA or who were suspected of OSA during ENT follow-up for another non-OSA reason. The sample was obtained from an appointment list of children referred to the pediatric center of TGH, where the overnight oximetry machines were distributed and returned.

This audit monitors the following standards in (Table 1), to examine and evaluate the management of OSA in children at TGH. Management of the patients including pre-assessment, ambulatory care and post-operative care was done in line with guidelines provided by Nottingham Children’s Hospital, the UK, published in 2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Interpretation of overnight oximetry is only attempted if the sleep study lasts for at least six hours</td>
<td>Standards for Services for Children with Disorders of Sleep Physiology’ from the Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Interpretation of overnight oximetry is appropriate and reliable</td>
<td>Guidelines on ‘Day care Adenotonsillectomy in presence of sleep apnoea’ from Nottingham Children’s Hospital suggest a key to oximetry classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Appropriate management and referral for Adenotonsillectomy following overnight oximetry</td>
<td>Guidelines on ‘Day care adenotonsillectomy in presence of sleep apnoea’ from Nottingham Children’s Hospital set admission criteria for overnight observation and for day-case surgery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The study was conducted over one month, and the sample comprised of 60 consecutive children listed in date order for their overnight oximetry sleep study appointment.

The sampling started from an arbitrary point chosen as the last child to be seen mid-December 2015 and went back to early-October 2015 before reaching the 60th child at which point the sampling was stopped. The electronic patient record (EPR) was then used to retrospectively review each child in the sample focusing on the ENT consultation notes and overnight oximetry sleep study data. Data was recorded, compiled anonymously and analyzed using Microsoft Excel 2013 (Microsoft, Redmond, Washington, USA). The standards used for this is clarified in (Table 1). After all exclusions, 33 children were able to have their overnight oximetry and management decisions analyzed.

Overnight sleep study was done at home. The parents had appropriate induction and training on how to use the equipment. The results were recorded by devices and data collected later. The parents were initially blinded to the results collected, until they have a clinic discussion with their physician on a separate appointment afterwards.

The physicians in the ENT clinic evaluated the children clinically for symptoms of OSA, which included snoring, mouth breathing, cessation of breathing during sleep, poor quality of sleep and daytime hyper-somnolence. Physicians were Otolaryngology consultants with sufficient experience in evaluating children’s sleep disorders. They evaluated the correlation between the results of overnight oximetry and the physicians’ own clinical judgment – depending on symptoms and clinical examination – on the diagnosis and management of OSA in those children. They were not blind to the results of the overnight oximetry. The methodology and sampling are detailed in (Figure 1).
RESULTS

Analysis of the final sample of 33 children revealed a 1.2:1 male to female ratio with 18 boys and 15 girls. The age of each child in the sample was reported in years except for those under 2 when it was reported in months, and converted to years, as a decimal. The mean average age was 4.53 ±SD 0.9. All further data analysis is mapped to a corresponding audit standard.

Overnight oximetry studies state the duration of the study in minutes as well as the minutes of the study that are actually analyzed. To meet the standards, at least 6 hours of overnight oximetry would need to be analyzed. From the 33 remaining children in the sample, 2 children had less than 6 hours of sleep analyzed and reported as OSA. To document accurate compliance with this standard, the sample had to include the two children previously excluded due to insufficient study duration, as these demonstrate relevant compliance. Of the 35 children, only 2 were analyzed with duration less than 6 hours (Figure 2). The compliance was 94%.

Of the 11 children in whom OSA was predicted using overnight oximetry guidelines alone, when severity was not taken into account, the clinician’s opinion agreed in 8 (73%) sleep studies. Of the remaining 3 (27%) studies, all were reported as having mild OSA by the clinician. If severity was taken into account, the clinician’s opinion agreed in 7 (64%) studies, gave a lower severity compared to the guidelines in 3 (27%), and could not be determined in 1 (9%) study due to no severity being stated.

In total the clinician’s opinion agreed on 24 (73%) sleep studies when severity of OSA was not taken into account and on 23 (70%) sleep studies when severity of OSA was taken into account. In the latter, 1 study was undeterminable because the severity of OSA was not stated. This means the actual percentage of agreement may be as high as 73%. Of the 9 conflicting studies, the clinician’s opinion was more severe in 6 (67%) studies and less severe in 3 (33%).

Of the 19 children who had a normal sleep study identified by the clinician, 5 were discharged to the person who made the referral (either GP or pediatrician), 5 were given a review ENT appointment, 5 were listed for adenotonsillectomy at TGH after being deemed beneficial, and 4 were referred for management at The Royal Manchester Children Hospital (RMCH) (Figure 5).
Of the 5 children listed for adenotonsillectomy at TGH, all 5 (100%) were suitable for DGH adenotonsillectomy.

Of the four referred for surgical management and opinion at RMCH, 1 was referred for non-OSA reasons. From the remaining 3, all 3 (100%) were not suitable for DGH adenotonsillectomy due to either age or co-morbidities.

Of the 14 children with OSA: 2 children with severe OSA were referred to RMCH for surgical management and opinion, 2 children with moderate OSA were referred to RMCH, 9 children with mild OSA were split between discharge (1), review (3), and referral to RMCH (5), and 1 unknown OSA severity was referred to RMCH.

For the 2 children with severe OSA, the guidelines state that a referral should have been made for both children based on OSA severity alone. For the 2 children with moderate OSA, 1 would have been referred to RMCH due to a co-morbidity (failure to thrive) and 1 would have fallen into the criteria for day-case DGH adenotonsillectomy; the latter child was referred to RMCH based on TGH practice.

For the 5 children with mild OSA who were referred to RMCH, 4 would have been referred based on the age criterion of the guidelines but 1 would have been eligible for day-case DGH adenotonsillectomy, but still was again referred on TGH practice. For the 1 child with an unknown severity of OSA, comparison with the guidelines cannot be made.

Of the 17 children with or without OSA who were listed or referred for surgical management of OSA with adenotonsillectomy, 15 (88%) were correctly referred against the standard. The other 2 were referred based on TGH practice of referring all children with OSA to RMCH, though were eligible for day-case DGH adenotonsillectomy and so fall outside of the standard (Figure 6).

DISCUSSION

The Symptoms of pediatric OSA slightly differ from adult OSA and include snoring, frequent arousal from sleep, and disordered gas exchange; it is the latter two sequelae that differentiate OSA from primary snoring in children. Moreover, OSA symptoms have far-reaching complications for children including social problems due to loud snoring, behavioral changes due to inattention or irritability from poor sleep, enuresis, poor growth and failure to thrive, obesity, and increased risk of cardiovascular problems[1].

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) in children is usually effectively treated by adenotonsillectomy (T&A). Studies have shown that a ‘normal’ child with severe OSA may be more at risk of peri-operative respiratory complications, more sensitive to inhalation anesthetics, more sensitive to opiates, and more likely to have postoperative desaturations[1].

It is now established that those children at risk should be treated with adenotonsillectomy at a specialist pediatric centre with direct and immediate access to pediatric HDU and ICU, and not suitable for district general hospital (DGH). Those risks are clarified in (Table 2)[2].

Table 2: Children at risk from respiratory complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age &lt;2 years</th>
<th>Weight &lt;15 kg</th>
<th>Failure to thrive</th>
<th>Obesity</th>
<th>Severe cerebral palsy</th>
<th>Hypotonia or neuromuscular disorders</th>
<th>Significant craniofacial anomalies</th>
<th>Mucopolysaccharidosis and syndromes associated with difficult airway</th>
<th>Significant co-morbidity</th>
<th>ECG or echocardiographic abnormalities</th>
<th>Severe OSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Overnight pulse oximetry can be used to estimate the severity of OSA, to shorten the diagnostic and treatment process for those with more severe disease, and to aid clinicians in prioritization of T&A and planning perioperative care. Nixon et al[12] in 2004, developed guidance for interpreting overnight oximetry, shown in (Table 3), and thus provided a crude method to assess the severity of OSA[12].
Table 3: Guidance for interpreting overnight oximetry studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OSA Severity</th>
<th>No. of drops per hour</th>
<th>No. of drops per hour</th>
<th>No. of drops per hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;80%</td>
<td>&lt;85%</td>
<td>&lt;80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal / inconclusive</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild OSA</td>
<td>≥3</td>
<td>≤3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate OSA</td>
<td>≥3</td>
<td>&gt;3</td>
<td>≤3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe OSA</td>
<td>≥3</td>
<td>&gt;3</td>
<td>&gt;3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


NICE guidelines currently advise “to refer children to a pediatric ENT specialist if they have clinical features of adenotonsilar hypertrophy, symptoms of persistent snoring and features of OSA[4].

It is logical that a significant less sleep would lead to fewer potential desaturations and thus produce an in accurate prediction of OSA severity. The Royal College of Pediatrics and Child Health have since suggested that a minimum of 6 hours sleep be recorded to obtain a reliable overnight oximetry study[13]. Studies showed that children with a positive clinical assessment of OSA but negative PSG have significant improvement after T&A as compared with observation alone, thus validating the clinician's role in diagnosing OSA in children[16].

The results were compared with the standards, aiming to compare practice in relation to the general consensus for management of OSA in a DGH. Different aspects were analyzed, as follows:

1. Interpretation of overnight oximetry is only attempted if the sleep study lasts for at least six hours:

TGH complied with this standard in 94% of children: either, if the duration of the study fell below this and the child and parent/guardian were called to repeat the study, or, if the duration met or exceeded the requirement; then interpretation was made. The compliance of 94% represents good compliance and good practice, however, pragmatically it may be considered to be 100%.

2. Interpretation of overnight oximetry is appropriate and reliable

An ENT surgeon may interpret the overnight oximetry sleep study at their discretion in light of the clinical features. The clinician’s opinion of whether a child has OSA symptoms was obtained from the clinical consultation notes and compared against what the standard for overnight oximetry interpretation would predict by itself. One drawback for this is that the clinicians were not blind to the studies, which might have resulted in clinical bias.

The study demonstrated the biggest difference of OSA severity suggested by the clinician on the background of a normal or inconclusive study (using the standard). It is surprising how this could be interpreted this way, given that moderate OSA should produce a more conclusive sleep study. Again, this is perhaps reflective of the clinical features not accurately demonstrated in the EPR notes and overnight oximetry having a high false negative value; clinicians account for this by ruling-in mild OSA rather than ruling it out.

This audit verified the proposed standard from guidelines of Nottingham’s Children’s Hospital, to assess whether interpretation of overnight oximetry was appropriate and reliable or not. Compliance was 70%, which may seem somewhat low, where there was non-compliance, however, was mostly (15%) with ruling in mild OSA on the background of a normal or inconclusive study. This is defensive medicine in line with the known high false negative value of overnight oximetry. As noted in the results, only in 1 (3%) case was moderate OSA ruled in on an inconclusive study and in 3 (9%) instances was mild OSA ruled out on the background of predicted mild OSA.

3. Appropriate management and referral for adenotonsillectomy following overnight oximetry

The guidelines from Nottingham Children’s Hospital regarding eligibility for day-case surgery (Table 4) only differ from RCS consensus in the minimum age requirement of ≥ 3 years old compared to ≥ 2 years old. The guidelines, however, only identify whether a child is suitable for DGH day-case adenotonsillectomy or not based on relevant risk factors. They do not establish whether adenotonsillectomy is suitable; this must be decided using details from the clinical history and examination.
Table 4: Criteria for Day-case surgery criteria following adenotonsillectomy for OSA

- Mild/moderate OSA on sleep study
- Aged 3 years or older
- Completely fit and well apart from OSA
- Weight >15 kg
- Lives within 45 minutes drive of QMC or 30 minutes from secondary care provider with emergency service
- 2 adults available to care
- Working phone
- Access to transport
- Fulfils standard day-case surgery criteria
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This audit looked at the appropriate management and referral for adenotonsillectomy following overnight oximetry, assuming adenotonsillectomy was decided to be clinically beneficial.

A comparison was made between how the clinician managed the child and what the guidelines suggest taking into account the relevant risk factors.

Compliance with this standard was 88% (were correctly referred against the standard). If this consensus (that is, all children suspected of OSA to be referred to RMCH for postoperative overnight observation) was used as the standard, then, compliance would be 100%.

The limitations of our study are its retrospective nature and the relatively small sample size. The physicians were not blinded to the oximetry results, and their final clinical judgment for management included the oximetry results. This was an advantage for the patients’ clinical evaluation, but might have resulted in bias.

CONCLUSION

Application On the basis of this audit, an agreed action plan was established entailing the following tasks:

- Continue to screen for the duration of oximetry analyzed to exclude and repeat invalid studies through Clinicians interpreting sleep studies
- Distribution of agreed guidelines based on those used in this audit may be beneficial and provide reliability to the ambiguity of overnight oximetry, and managers at TGH were also informed to help doing that.
- Clinicians should continue to refer all children suspected of OSA, or those without OSA but with risk factors of postoperative respiratory complications, to RMCH.
- A discussion to reassess if children with mild and moderate OSA without risk factors could all have adenotonsillectomy performed as a day-case at TGH, whether they could be performed if first on morning list, or if a policy to refer all children with OSA should continue to be implemented Clinicians and managers for 12 months.
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